



Available Online through
 www.ijptonline.com

PRIME AND MAXIMAL IDEALS IN Γ - SEMIGROUPS

Jyothi.V*, Sarala.Y, Madhusudhanarao.D

¹Department of Mathematics, K.L.University, Guntur, A.P, India.

^{1*}Department of Mathematics, NIT, A.P, India.

²Department of Mathematics, V.S.R & N.V.R College, Tenali, A.P. India.

Email: jyothi.mindspace@gmail.com

Received on: 25-04-2017

Accepted on: 28-05-2017

Abstract:

In this paper we introduce and study the structure of prime and maximal ideals of Γ -semigroup. In this paper many important results of prime ideals in semigroups have been extended to prime ideals in Γ -semigroups.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of semigroup, SEN [9,10], introduced the notion of Γ -semigroup in 1986 and developed some theory on Γ -semigroups. In fact the concept of Γ -semigroups is a generalization of the concept of semigroups. Due to the fact it is easy to generalize the result of semigroups to Γ -semigroups. The motivation mainly comes from the conditions of prime ideals and maximal that are importance and interest in semigroups. We can see that any semigroup can be reduced to Γ -semigroup. In this article, we give some auxiliary results are also necessary for our considerations and characterize the prime and maximal ideals in Γ -semigroups. Many classical notions of semigroup have extended to Γ - semigroups[1-8]

Definition 2.1: [9] Let S and Γ be two nonempty sets. Then S is called a Γ - semigroup if there exist a mapping from $S \times \Gamma \times S$ to S which maps $(a, \alpha, b) \rightarrow a\alpha b$ satisfying the condition $(a\gamma b)\mu c = a\gamma(b\mu c)$ for all $a, b, c \in T$ and $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$. Let S be a Γ -semigroup. If A and B are two subsets of S , we shall denote the set $\{a\gamma b : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ by $A\Gamma B$.

Example 2.2: Let Q be the set of rational numbers and $\Gamma = N$ be the set of natural numbers. Define a mapping from $Q \times \Gamma \times Q$ to Q by $a\alpha b =$ usual product of a, α, b for $a, b \in Q, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then Q is a Γ -semigroup.

Definition 2.3: A Γ -semigroup S is said to be a commutative provided $a\gamma b = b\gamma a$ for all $a, b \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If S is a commutative Γ -semigroup then $a\Gamma b = b\Gamma a$ for all $a, b \in S$.

Definition 2.4: A nonempty subset A of a Γ -semigroup S is said to be a left Γ -ideal of S if $s \in S, a \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma$ implies $s\alpha a \in A$. A nonempty subset A of a Γ -semigroup S is a left Γ -ideal of S if $S\Gamma A \subseteq A$. A nonempty subset A of a Γ -semigroup S is a right Γ -ideal of S if $A\Gamma S \subseteq A$. A nonempty subset A of a Γ -semigroup S is a Γ -ideal of S if and only if it is both a left Γ -ideal and a right Γ -ideal of S .

Example 2.5: Let N be the set of natural numbers and $\Gamma = 2N$. Then N is a Γ -semigroup and $A = 3N$ is a Γ -ideal of the Γ -semigroup N .

Definition 2.6: A Γ -ideal A of a Γ -semigroup S is said to be a maximal Γ -ideal provided A is a proper Γ -ideal of S and is not properly contained in any proper Γ -ideal of S .

Definition 2.7: A Γ -ideal A of a Γ -semigroup S is said to be a proper Γ -ideal of S if A is different from S .

Definition 2.8: A proper ideal P of a Γ -semigroup S is called a prime ideal of S if $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$ implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$ for any two ideals A, B of S .

Theorem 2.9: If M is a maximal ideal of a Γ -semigroup S and if the complement of M is commutative and is contained in S^2 , then M is a prime ideal of S .

Proof: The theorem is trivial if $M = S$. Let M be a proper maximal ideal and suppose M is not prime; then there exist elements x and y in $C(M)$ such that $x\alpha y \in M; \alpha \in \Gamma$. Let x be some fixed element of $C(M)$ such that, for atleast one element $y \in C(M); x\alpha y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and let Y be the set of all such elements y . We distinguish two cases: (1) $Y \subset C(M)$. (2) $Y = C(M)$.

Case (1): In this case we shall show that $M \cup Y$ is an ideal of S . i.e $(M \cup Y) \Gamma S \subseteq M \cup Y$ and $S \Gamma (M \cup Y) \subseteq M \cup Y$. Since $S = M \cup C(M)$ we may accomplish this by showing that $(M \cup Y) \Gamma M \subseteq M \cup Y$ and $M \Gamma (M \cup Y) \subseteq M \cup Y$; which is obvious since M is an ideal and that $(M \cup Y) \Gamma C(M) \subseteq M \cup Y$ and $C(M) \Gamma (M \cup Y) \subseteq M \cup Y$. Now If $y \in Y$ and $c \in C(M)$ then $x\alpha(y\beta c) = (x\alpha y)\beta c \in M$ for $x\alpha y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence either $y\beta c \in M$ or $y\beta c \in Y$, so that $(M \cup Y) \Gamma C(M) \subseteq M \cup Y$. And since we have assumed $C(M)$ to be commutative, $x\alpha(c\beta y) = x\alpha(y\beta c) = (x\alpha y)\beta c \in M; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ whence either $c\beta y \in M$ or $c\beta y \in Y$ and therefore $C(M) \Gamma (M \cup Y) \subseteq M \cup Y$. This completes the proof that $M \cup Y$ is an ideal. Since Y is nonempty and $M \cap Y = \phi, M \subset M \cup Y$ and $M \cup Y \subset S$. Since in this case we have assumed $Y \subset C(M)$. Therefore $M \cup Y$ is a proper ideal of S , properly containing M , contrary to the hypothesis that M is maximal. Case (2): If $Y = C(M)$ then, by virtue of the hypothesis that $C(M)$ is commutative, $y\alpha x = x\alpha y \in M$ for all $y \in C(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, whence $x\Gamma s \subseteq M$ and $s\Gamma x \subseteq M$. In particular $x_2 \in M$, whence $x \neq x_2$. But since $C(M) \subseteq S^2$, there exist elements a and b (not necessarily distinct) in S such that $x = aab$ both a and b lie in $C(M)$

since $x \in C(M)$. Now either $a \neq x$ or $b \neq x$, for if $a = b = x$ then $x = aab = x_2$; say $a \neq x$. Hence MUX is an ideal of S , for $(MUX)\Gamma S = M\Gamma S \cup X\Gamma S \subseteq M \cup M \subseteq M \subseteq MUX$ and $S\Gamma(MUX) = S\Gamma M \cup S\Gamma X \subseteq M \cup M \subseteq M \subseteq MUX$. But $M \subset MUX$ since $x \in C(M)$ and $MUX \subset S$ since $a \in C(M)$ and $a \neq x$. Therefore $M \cup X$ is a proper ideal of S , properly containing M , contrary to the hypothesis that M is maximal. The supposition that M is not prime having led to a contradiction in both cases. We conclude that M is prime. We observe that in the above proof of case (1) no use was made of the hypothesis that $C(M) \subseteq S^2$. Hence by combining the maximality of M , the commutativity of $C(M)$ and the condition defining case (1), we obtain.

Corollary 2.10: In a Γ -semigroup S such that $S^2 = S$, every maximal ideal whose complement is commutative is prime. In particular, in a Γ -semigroup having either a left or a right identity element every maximal ideal whose complement is commutative is prime. In a commutative Γ -semigroup such that $S^2 = S$, every maximal ideal is prime. We now prove a converse of Theorem 2.9, and are able to drop the hypothesis that the complement of ideal be commutative.

Theorem 2.11: If M is a maximal and prime ideal of a Γ -semigroup S , then the complement of M is contained in S^2 .

Proof: Suppose and let x be any element of $C(M)$ such that $x \notin S^2$. Then $x \neq x_2$ and $x_2 \in C(M)$. Since M is prime. Now the set $M \cup x_2 \cup S\Gamma x_2 \cup x_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$ is an ideal of S , for $M\Gamma S \subseteq M$; $S\Gamma M \subseteq M$; $S\Gamma S\Gamma x_2 = S^2\Gamma x_2 \subseteq S\Gamma x_2$; $x_2\Gamma S\Gamma S = x_2\Gamma S^2 \subseteq x_2\Gamma S$; $S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S = S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S = S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$; $S\Gamma S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S = S^2\Gamma x_2\Gamma S \subseteq S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$ and $S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S\Gamma S = S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S^2 \subseteq S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$. But $M \subset M \cup x_2 \cup S\Gamma x_2 \cup x_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$. Since $x_2 \notin M$ and $M \cup x_2 \cup S\Gamma x_2 \cup x_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S \subset S$. Since $x \notin M$ and $x \notin S^2$. Therefore $M \cup x_2 \cup S\Gamma x_2 \cup x_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma x_2\Gamma S$ is a proper ideal of S properly containing M , contrary to the hypothesis that M is maximal. We note in passing that if A is any left or right ideal of a Γ -semigroup S and if $C(A) \subseteq S^2$, then $C(A) \subset S^2$ for S^2 is an ideal of S , whence $S^2 \cap A \neq \emptyset$ while $C(A) \cap A = \emptyset$ and so $C(A) \neq S^2$. Hence the conclusion of theorem 2.12 would be strengthened to read the complement of M is contained properly in S^2 . We note also that, in any Γ -semigroup S , the ideal S^2 is prime if and only if $S^2 = S$ and that S^2 is maximal if and only if $C(A) \subset S^2$ contains atmost a single element, then only if following from the fact that if $x, y \in C(S^2)$ and $x \neq y$ then $S^2 \cup x$ is a proper ideal of S properly containing S^2 . Theorem 2.9 and 2.11 together yield.

Theorem 2.12: In a Γ -semigroup S , a maximal ideal whose complement is commutative is a prime ideal if and only if the compliment is contained in S^2 .

Proof: It is well - known theorem 26 [7] in the theory of rings that if M is an ideal in a commutative ring R then the residue class ring R/M is a field if and only if both (1) M is a maximal ideal of R and (2) $x_2 \in M$ implies $x \in M$. Another familiar result is that an ideal in a commutative ring R is a prime ideal of R if and only if R/M is an integral domain. Since every field is an integral domain (but not conversely), it follows that if conditions (1) and (2) hold then M is a prime ideal the converse fails because although every prime ideal satisfies condition (2), a prime ideal need not be maximal. However, it follows that if M is a maximal ideal in a commutative ring then M is prime if and only if (2) holds and this result we shall prove both for one-sided and for an ideals in Γ -semigroups dispensing with the hypothesis of commutativity. Before proceeding to the proof, we remark that it is easy to show that in a commutative ring with identity element condition (2) follows from condition (1). Whence we conclude that in such a ring every maximal ideal is prime. This result carries over to commutative Γ -semigroup with identity, as we have seen corollary 2.11 indeed, we know that in any Γ -semigroups with identity a maximal ideal whose complement is commutative must be prime.

Conclusion: This concept is used in chemistry, physical chemistry, electronics etc.

References

1. Anjaneyulu.A, Semigroups in which prime ideals are maximal, Semigroup Forum, 22, (1981), 151-158.
2. Aiyared Iampan, Note on Bi-ideals in Γ -semigroups, International Journal of Algebra, 3 (2009), No-4, 181-188.
3. Braja SIslam, Characterization of regular Γ -semigroups using quasi ideals, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis , 3(2009), No-36, 1789-1794.
4. Clifford.A.H and Preston.G.B; The algebraic theory of semigroups, American Mathematical Society, Providence, II(1967).
5. Kaushik.J.P and Khan Moin; On bi- Γ -ideals in Γ -semirings, International Journal of Contemp. Mathematical Sciences, 3, (2008), No-26, 1255-1260.
6. Madhusudhana Rao.D, Anjaneyulu.A, Gangadhar.A; Prime Γ -radicals on Γ semigroups,International e-Journal of Mathematics and Engineering,116,(2011),1074-1081.
7. Neal H.Mcco; Rings and Ideals ,buffalo; Mathematical Association of America, (1948), 8081.
8. Petrich.M, Introduction to semigroups, Merril Publishing Company, Columbus, Ohilo, (1973).
9. Sen.M.K and Saha.N.K; On Γ -semigroups-I, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society, 78, N0-3, (1986), 180-186.