



ISSN: 0975-766X
 CODEN: IJPTFI
 Research Article

Available Online through
 www.ijptonline.com

A STUDY ON SNA: MEASURE GRAPH DENSITY OF KNOWLEDGE DIFFUSION IN GEPHI

Ayyappan.G¹, Dr.C.Nalini²

Research Scholar, Department of CSE, Bharath University, Chennai¹

Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Bharath University, Chennai²

Email: ayyappan.it@bharathuniv.ac.in

Received on: 15.10.2016

Accepted on: 22.11.2016

Abstract

Social Media is a term that covers the platforms Facebook, twitter, blogs and other things typically thought of as social networking. ONS's are primarily Internet based tools for sharing and discussing information among human beings. In this paper focuses mainly to study social network parameters commonly used to explain social structures.

In this paper, we extract academic researcher data from an academic social network, analyze and evaluate network parameters on some widely recognized graph topology using GEPHI social network tool. Using these parameters we find out the strength of research spectrum, authors contribution in academic knowledge diffusion.

In this research work we have collected this data set from ArnetMiner (<http://www.arnetminer.org>). In this massive real time dataset we have taken co-author training set randomly it contains 100 records from the 4258615 records.

This research community we identified collaborations of authors and co authors published in research article using gephi tool we find out the maximum and minimum research community groups in the academic knowledge diffusion.

We measure graph density research community.

Keywords: Graphs, edges, lines, arcs, graph density.

I. Introduction

A graph is *vertices*, *nodes*, or *points* which are connected by *edges*, *arcs*, or *lines*. Simple graph - does not have loops (self-edges) and does not have multiple identical edges. Simply we define graphs are connections of vertices and edges.

We don't consider graph shape, we consider only nodes are connected or not. An edges connected two vertices.

Two types of edges :

1. Symmetrical,

Another visualization method is to explicitly use the time and order of social interactions to build the network (Berger-Wolf and Saia 2006). Researchers have also applied DNA methods to study other forms of longitudinal networks. Kossinets and Watts(2006)examined the stability of bridges, defined as connections outside one's circle of acquaintances, and measured how social ties were created and dissolved over time. Barabasi and colleagues (2002)applied DNA methods to find unique properties in an evolving citation network that differed from classic models. Indeed, various forms of citation and co-authorship networks offer a wealth of reliable data with which to study the evolution of networks. New layouts and metric computations are constantly being developedfrom these dynamic network studies (Brandes and Pich 2012).

The study of dynamic networks greatly benefits from visualizations that can illustrate ideas and concepts not immediately visible in a static sociogram. In fact, "The ability to see data clearly creates a capacity for building intuition that is unsurpassed by summary statistics" (Moody, McFarland and Bender-deMoll 2005). Moody and others' research emphasizes how the ability to see data can be superior to summary statistics, and illustrates the need to visualize how networks develop and change over time. Additionally, they lay the foundation of how dynamic network visualizations should be presented (e.g. differentiating between discrete and continuous time), and recommend visualization and analysis be interactive. These theoretical ideas were developed in parallel with SoNIA, a software package for visualizing dynamic network data (Bender-deMoll and McFarland 2006).Other researchers have continued to focus on studying different properties of dynamic networks, e.g. the evolution of subgroups(Falkowski, Bartelheimer and Spiliopoulou 2006), effects of network topology and organizational structure over time (Kossinets and Watts 2006), detecting and predicting statistically significant changes in a network over time (McCulloh and Carley 2011), and new visualization methods using shortest-path computations (Brandes and Pich 2012).

III. Materials and Methods

Gephi is an interactive visualization and exploration platform for all kinds of networks and complex systems, dynamic and hierarchial graphs. Gephi is a tool for people that have to explore and understand graphs. Like Photoshop but for data, the user interacts with the representation, manipulate the structures, shapes and colors to reveal hidden properties. The goal is to help data analysts to make hypothesis, intuitively discover patterns, isolate structure singularities or faults during data sourcing. It is a complementary tool to traditional statistics.

This is a software for Exploratory Data Analysis. Gephi tool provides an fastest graph visualization engine to speed-up understanding and pattern discovery in large graphs. Gephi is powered by ad-hoc OpenGL engine, it is pushing the envelope on how interactive and efficient network exploration can be.

- Networks up to 50,000 nodes and 1,000,000 edges
- Iterate through visualization using dynamic filtering
- Rich tools for meaningful graph manipulation Gephi is a modular software and can be extended with plugins. Plugins can add new features like layout, filters, metrics, data sources, etc. or modify existing features. Gephi is written in Java so anything that can be used in Java can be packaged as a Gephi plug-in.

In this research work we find out average length of research community like as betweenness centrality distribution, Eccentricity distribution, Harmonic closeness centrality distribution.

a)Graph Density :

IV. Implementation and Result

We use directed graph and the graph density is 0.014.

Parameters:

Network Interpretation: directed

Results:

Density: 0.014

V. Conclusion

This result produce Graph Density measures how close the network is to complete. A complete graph has all possible edges and density equal to 1. Thus Graph Density results 0.035 (directed) – reports very closer graph

VI. References

1. <http://matthieu-totet.fr/Koumin/2013/12/16/understand-degree-weighted-degree-betweenness-centrality/>
2. http://users.phys.psu.edu/~ralbert/phys597_09/c02_graph_conc.pdf
3. Jie Tang, Jing Zhang, Ruoming Jin, Zi Yang, Keke Cai, Li Zhang, and Zhong Su. Topic Level Expertise Search over Heterogeneous Networks. Machine Learning Journal, Volume 82, Issue 2 (2011), Pages 211-237.
4. Kar-Hai Chu, Heather Wipfli, Thomas W. Valente, Using Visualizations to Explore Network Dynamics , Journal of Social Structure, Volume 14,

5. <https://sites.google.com/site/ucinetsoftware/home>
6. <http://www.r-project.org/>
7. Wipfli, H., K. Fujimoto and T. W. Valente (2010). "Global Tobacco Control Diffusion: The Case of the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control." *American Journal of Public Health*100(7): 1260.
8. Suh, B., L. Hong, P. Pirolli and E. Chi (2010). Want to be Retweeted? Large Scale Analytics on Factors Impacting Retweet in Twitter Network. 2010 IEEE Second International Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom), IEEE.
9. Correa, C. D. and K.L. Ma (2011). *Visualizing Social Networks. Social Network Data Analytics*, Springer: 307-326.
10. Kairam, S., D. J. Wang and J. Leskovec (2012). The Life and Death of Online Groups: Predicting Group Growth and Longevity. In *Proceedings of the fifth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, ACM.
11. McCulloh, I. and K. Carley (2011). "Detecting Change in Longitudinal Social Networks." *Journal of Social Structure*12(3).
12. Suh, B., L. Hong, P. Pirolli and E. Chi (2010).Want to be Retweeted? Large Scale Analytics on Factors Impacting Retweet in Twitter Network. 2010 IEEE Second International Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom), IEEE.
13. http://www.academia.edu/16776131/GEPHI_Introduction_to_Network_Analysis_and_Visualization
14. <http://www.slideshare.net/digitalmethods/gephi-rieder-23834788>
15. http://www.ijera.com/papers/Vol2_issue6/DB26703707.pdf
16. Ulrik Brandes, *A Faster Algorithm for Betweenness Centrality*, in *Journal of Mathematical Sociology* 25(2):163-177, (2001)
17. Harary, F. *Graph Theory*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, p. 35, 1994.
18. Skiena, S. *Implementing Discrete Mathematics: Combinatorics and Graph Theory with Mathematica*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, p. 107, 1990.
19. West, D. B. *Introduction to Graph Theory, 2nd ed.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2000.
20. <http://www.sci.unich.it/~francesc/teaching/network/eigenvector.html>.