



ISSN: 0975-766X

CODEN: IJPTFI

Review Article

*Available through Online*

**www.ijptonline.com**

**A REVIEW: NIOSOMES A NOVEL TOOL FOR DRUG DELIVERY**

**Shingitha K.P\***

\*Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Noida Institute of Engineering and Technology,  
Greater Noida, G.B Nagar, India  
Email:shingithanair@gmail.com

Received on 09-12-2014

Accepted on 29-12-2014

**Abstract**

There are two important requirements in design and development of novel drug delivery system(NDDS).First it should deliver the drug at pre-determined rate and second therapeutic effective amount of drug should reach the site of action. The conventional dosage forms like tablets, capsules are unable to meet this requirement. Niosomes are nonionic surfactants vesicles formed due to self-assembly of hydrates surfactant monomers. The proposed review deals with the composition, methods of preparation, stability, therapeutic potential. Main aim for development of niosome is for sustain release of the drug and for drug targeting to specific sites of the body.

**Keywords:**

Composition, Methods of preparation, Stability, Therapeutic potentials.

**Introduction**

Recently, considerably attention has been given to new drug delivery systems. The NDDS should ideally fulfill two requirements. First, it should deliver the drug at pre-determined rate and second therapeutic effective amount of drug should reach the site of action[1].Niosomes are nonionic surfactant vesicles in aqueous media resulting in closed bilayer structures that can be used as carriers of amphiphilic and lipophilic drugs [2].Niosomes are unilamellar or multilamellar vesicles in which an aqueous solution of solutes are entirely enclosed by a membrane formed due to organization of surfactant macromolecule as bilayer. Niosomes are formed due to hydration of nonionic surfactant film which hydrates eventually to encapsulate the hydrating aqueous solution[3].The synthetic nonionic surfactant which are used for the preparation of niosomes are stable chemically with utmost accurate chemical composition and cheaper in cost. Niosomes

are analogues of liposomes and widely used experimentally as drug carrier and in cosmetic formulations. Niosomes were firstly introduced by Handjani Vile *et al* in 1979 [4].

Various advantages and disadvantages of niosomes are reported in the table given below: [5, 6] Table 1.

**Table1: Advantages and Limitations of Niosomes**

ADVANTAGES	LIMITATIONS
1. Bioavailability of poorly absorbed oral drug and skin penetration of drugs is enhanced.	Physical instability is observed in niosomal formulations due to vesicles aggregations, fusion and leaking thereby leading the hydrolysis of encapsulated drug which affects the shelf life of the prepared dispersion.
2. High patient compliance in comparison with oily dosage forms as the vesicle Suspension is a water-based vehicle.	NA
3. Drug molecules with a wide range of solubility's can be incorporated.	NA
4. Characteristics of vesicle formed are variable and controllable. Vesicle characterization can be controlled by composition , size ,lamellar surface charge and concentration.	NA
5. Vesicles act as a depot thereby releasing the drug in controlled manner.	NA
6. Osmotically active, stable, as well as they enhance the stability of entrapped drug.	NA
7. It can be formulated to reach the site of action by oral, tropical, as well as parenteral routes.	NA
8. No specific condition is required for storage and handling of various surfactants.	NA
9. Surfactants used for formulation of niosomes are biocompatible, non-immunogenic and biodegradable.	NA

## **Niosomes Composition**

Theoretically, for the formation of niosomes presence of a particular amphiphile and aqueous solvent are required. Due to high interfacial tension between water and hydrocarbon portion the association of amphiphile monomers into vesicle occurs due to hydration. Simultaneously, ionic and steric hydrophilic repulsion between the head groups ensure that this group remains in contact with water. Hence, the two opposite forces leads to supramolecular assembly. The essential components in the preparation of niosomes are membrane additives such as cholesterol and non-ionic surfactants.

### **Cholesterol**

Cholesterol is a waxy steroid metabolite found in the cell membranes. Cholesterol is added usually to the non-ionic surfactants to give rigidity and orientational order to the niosomal bilayer [7]. Cholesterol and its derivatives are the most common additives found in niosomal systems. Cholesterol enables the formation of vesicles, reduces aggregation and provides greater stability. Cholesterol is also known to abolish gel to liquid phase transition of niosomal systems resulting in niosomes that are less leaky [8].

### **Non-ionic surfactants**

The main component for niosomal formations is non-ionic surfactant. Generally, they possess hydrophilic head group and a hydrophobic tail. The hydrophobic moiety may be made up of 1/2/3 alkyl chains or single stearyl group or perfluoro group. The length of alkyl chain is from C<sub>12</sub>- C<sub>18</sub>. The chain length of per fluoro alkyl group is C<sub>10</sub>. The commonly used surfactant in literature is Sorbitan fatty acid esters and commonly used Spans are Span 20, 40, 60, 65, 80, and 85. Tweens are also employed as non-ionic surfactants for the formation of niosomes and example of generally employed Tweens are Tween 20, 40 and 60. Tweens is polysorbates

derived from PEGylated sorbitan esterified with fatty acids [9]. Solulan C24 poly-24-oxyethylene cholesteryl ether has been reported to form spherical, tubular or polyhedral niosomal vesicles [10]. Another class of non-ionic surfactants is polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers (C<sub>n</sub>E<sub>0m</sub>, Brij TM) which have ability to form bilayer vesicles when mixed with cholesterol. The different grades of Brij used for vesicle formation are Brij 30, 35, 52, 58, 72, 76, 92, and 97. Numerous studies have been reported in literature using these surfactants [11]. Crown ether units, as polar heads, linked to a long alkyl chain and represent a new class of non-ionic surfactants, which are able to assemble in colloidal structures if associated with cholesterol [12]. Table 2 describes the summary of surfactants used for preparation of niosomes.

**Table 2: Summary of surfactants used for preparation of Niosomes.**

S.No.	Name of surfactant	HBL Value	Trademark
1.	Sorbitan monostearate	4.7	Span 60
2.	Sorbitan monopalmitate	6.7	Span 40
3.	Sorbitan monolaurate	8.6	Span 20
4.	Sorbitan trioleate	1.8	Span 85
5.	Sorbitan monooleate	4.3	Span 80
6.	Polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaurate	16.7	Tween 20
7.	Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate	15.6	Tween 40
8.	Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monostearate	15.6	Tween 60
9.	Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate	15.5	Tween 80
10.	Polyoxyethylene laural ether	9.5	Brij 30
11.	Polyoxyethylene 23 laural ether	16.9	Brij 35
12.	Polyoxyethylene 2 cetyle ether	16.9	Brij 52
13.	Polyoxyethylene 20 cetyle ether	15.7	Brij 58
14.	Polyoxyethylene 2 stearyle ether	4.9	Brij 72
15.	Polyoxyethylene 10 stearyl ether	12.4	Brij 76
16.	Polyoxyethylene 2 oleyl ether	4.9	Brij 92

**Other additives**

Charge inducers are one of the membrane additives which are often included in niosomes because they increase surface charge density and prevent vesicles flocculation, aggregation and fusion. Negatively and positively charged molecules are used for induction of charge in niosomes as reported by number of scientists. Dicapryl phosphate (DCP) and stearyl amine (SA) which induces negative or positive charge is examples of such membrane.

**Additives.****Methods of Preparation**

The general method for the preparation of Niosomes includes the evaporation of the solvent to produce a lipid film followed by hydration with the hydration medium. However, various methods are utilized for the preparation of niosomes. Some of them are discussed below:

**Ether injection method**

This method was reported in 1976 by Deamer and Bangham. It is similar to ethanol injection method. However it differs with ethanol injection method in many ways. It involves injection of immiscible organic solution containing surfactant or surfactant-cholesterol or surfactant cholesterol-diacetyl phosphate or surfactant cholesterol- drug solution mixture very slowly into an aqueous phase through a narrow needle at vaporization temperature of organic solvent. Vaporization of

ether leads to the formation of single layered vesicles (SLVs). It has a little risk of causing oxidative degradation provided ether is free from peroxides. The disadvantage of this method is that a small amount of ether is often present in the vesicles suspension and is difficult to remove very often [13].

#### **Ethanol injection method:**

This method has been reported as one of the alternatives used for the preparation of small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) without sonication. In this method, an ethanol solution of surfactant is injected rapidly through a fine needle into excess of saline or other aqueous medium. Vaporization of ethanol leads to the formation of vesicles. Enoxacin was entrapped in liposomes using this particular method [14].

#### **Hand shaking method (Lipid film hydration)**

In this method, surfactants/lipids are casted as layers of film from their organic solution using flask rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (or by hand shaking) and then casted films are dispersed in an aqueous medium. Upon hydration, the lipids swell and peel off from the wall of the round bottom flask at temperature slightly above the phase transition temperature of surfactants used, for specified period of time (time of hydration) with constant mild shaking. The mechanical energy required for the swelling of the lipids and dispersion of casted lipid film is imparted by manual agitation (hand shaking) or by exposing the film to a stream of water saturated nitrogen for 15 minutes followed by swelling in aqueous medium without shaking (non shaken vesicles). Hand shaking method produces multi lamellar vesicles (MLVs) while vesicles produced by non shaking method are large unilamellar vesicles LUVs [15].

#### **Reverse phase evaporation:**

The novel key in this method is the removal of solvent from an emulsion by evaporation. The surfactant and cholesterol are dissolved in ether or chloroform or in a mixture of chloroform and ether chloroform with or without drug. The resulting two-phase system is then homogenized using homogenizer. The organic phase is removed under reduced pressure to form liposomes dispersed in aqueous phase. In some cases, resulting suspensions must be further hydrated or homogenized to yield liposomes [16].

#### **The Bubble method:**

It is novel technique for one step preparation of liposomes and liposomes without the use of organic solvents. The bubbling unit consists of round-bottomed flask with three necks positioned in water bath to control the temperature. Water-cooled

reflux and thermometer is positioned in the first and second neck and nitrogen is supplied through the third neck.

Researchers dispersed cholesterol and surfactants together in buffer (pH 7.4) at 70°C, the dispersion is mixed for 15 seconds with high shear homogenizer and immediately afterwards “bubbled” at 70°C using nitrogen gas [17].

### **Microfluidisation**

This is a recent technique to prepare small multi lamellar vesicles. A microfluidizer is used to pump the fluid at a very high pressure (10,000 psi) through a 5 µm screen. Thereafter, it is forced along defined microchannels, which direct two streams of fluid to collide together at right angles, thereby affecting a very efficient transfer of energy. The lipids can be introduced into the fluidizer. The fluid collected can be recycled through the pump until vesicles of spherical dimensions are obtained. This method resulted in niosomes with greater uniformity afford small size which shows better reproducibility [18].

### **Multiple membrane extrusion method:**

In membrane extrusion method, the size of niosomes is reduced by passing them through membrane filter. This method can be used for production of multi lamellar vesicles as well as large unilamellar vesicles. It is found as a good method for controlling niosomal size [19].

### **Stability of Niosomes**

**Stability in buffer:** Stability of alkyl glycoside vesicles was compared with phosphatidylcholine based liposomes vesicles both containing lipid: DCP: cholesterol 4:1:2. It was observed that the phosphatidylcholine based vesicles (liposomes) disintegrated *in vitro* after 22 weeks while niosomes prepared using alkyl glycoside endured at least for 25 weeks. [20]. Comparison with the efflux profile it was observed to be biphasic.

### **Stability in hypertonic media (Osmotic Shrinkage):**

Addition of hypertonic salt solution to the suspension brings osmotic gradient across non ionic surfactant (NSV's). Higher absorbance has been recorded in hypertonic buffer. [21]. It was interpreted that the osmotic gradient induces the reduction in vesicle size by effectively pumping out the vesicular contents.

### **Stability in hypotonic media:**

Unlike, osmotic shrinkage the vesicular system containing high load of solutes when diluted with demineralized water, a slow release of drug for 1hr period followed by relatively faster drug release phase in water was observed. [3]

## **Theurapeutic Potentials**

**Niosomes for the therapeutic of Leishmaniasis:** The stability characteristics of niosomes with appropriate release profile can be prepared by incorporating surfactant chemically or via modification in the bilayer composition. But real therapeutic potential can only be evaluated by *in vivo* experiments in animals along with the clinical trials.

NSV's prepared using surfactant I-III have been recorded to be effective carrier system for delivery of stilboglucanate to the visceral cells.[22] An exhaustive review on liposomal vesicular system in parasitic disease reported that the magnitude of improved efficiency is also dependent on the experimental conditions [23]. It includes variables such as size of the host, route of administration, volume, time profile. Niosomes are as effective as liposomes in delivery of loaded drug in experimental Leishmaniasis[24]. NSV's so prepared were found to be effective in the treatment of Leishmaniasis.

## **Niosomes in Oncology**

Doxorubicin (DOX) based niosomes were formulated and evaluated where surfactant I: Cholesterol (50:50 molar) was used as basic vesicular components. The prepared formula was administered as bolus through tail caudal vein at a dose of 5mg per kg body weight. The drug was estimated in serum, liver, heart, lungs and tumor after administration of the drug. It was found to distribute rapidly after I.V administration. The plasma doxorubicin levels were higher for entire period of study confirming sustained release characteristic of niosomal preparation.[25].

Higher DOX tumor concentration showed an improved antitumor activity. Study suggested that administration of NSV's -DOX increased life span of tumor bearing mice and regressed the tumor. When methotrexate is incorporated in Span 85 and Span 60 cholesterol based NSV's. It was observed that in Span(s) with increased lipophilicity their entrapment efficiency also increased [25]. Adrimycin loaded niosomes using monoalkyltriglycerol ether were prepared and tumouricidal activity was compared against free drug.[26].

## **Cosmetic delivery:**

The first report of non-ionic surfactant vesicles came from the cosmetic applications devised by L'Oreal. Niosomes were developed and patented by L'Oréal in the 1970s and 80s. The first product 'Niosome' was introduced in 1987 by Lancôme. The advantages of using niosomes in cosmetic and skin care applications include their ability to increase the stability of entrapped drugs, improved bioavailability of poorly absorbed ingredients and enhanced skin penetration [27].

## Vaccine delivery

An interesting group of vaccine carriersystems are formulations based on non-ionic surfactant vesicles (niosomes) which themselves are only weakly immunogenic. Niosomes are gaining wide attention as a peroral vaccine delivery system and for topical immunization. Non-ionic surfactant vesicles of influenza antigen for nasal mucosal delivery were studied. The study described the encapsulation of viral influenza vaccine antigen in non-ionic surfactant vesicles using dehydration-rehydration technique. Influence of the varying proportion of surfactant, cholesterol, and dicetyl phosphate on the morphology, particle size, entrapment efficiency, and *in-vitro* antigen release from niosomes was investigated. The stability of the antigen was studied using sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting. Researchers also studied the effect of cholesterol concentration and the method of lyophilization on antigen loading and *in-vitro* release of antigen from surfactant vesicles [28]. Niosomes for topical DNA delivery of Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) were prepared by reverse phase evaporation method using Span 85 and cholesterol. The immune stimulating activity was investigated and it was observed that topical niosomes elicited a comparable serum antibody titer and endogenous cytokines levels as compared to intramuscular recombinant HBsAg and topical liposomes [29].

## Conclusions and Future Potential

Niosomes are new and latest drug delivery system which has been getting attention from early 1990's. For its exploitation and investigation it holds great value and promising results can be achieved. It offers great opportunity in various drug delivery systems as the structural modification can be achieved like its shape, size, lamellar nature and the type of composition to be used while preparing niosomes.

It's an innovative way to incorporate the molecule by altering the solubility, permeability and toxicity problems associated with respective drug molecule.

It has been observed that higher stability is achieved in comparison to liposomes as it has the ability to incorporate both hydrophilic and hydrophobic molecule. With several administration route available makes niosomal drug delivery more reliable and promising.

By analyzing the relative new data and existing data indicate the future of niosomes is very bright.

## Acknowledgement

It is great pleasure for me to acknowledge all those who have contributed towards the conception, origin and nurturing this article.

I express my profound and sincere gratitude to my esteemed research guide Dr. Rupa Mazumder, Professor & Dean (R&D) Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Noida Institute of Engineering And Technology, Greater Noida , G.B Nagar for her inestimable guidance , valuable suggestions and constant encouragement during the course of this study.

I am thankful to Dr. A.Muzamder, Director, for his constant moral, selfless support, valuable suggestion and directions throughout the work. I am indebtedindefinitely for the care support and trust being shown by my parents without whom I would not been possible to do this.Thank you Anil, Ma, Papa and Prayag.

## References

1. LiVHK, Robinson JR, LuVHL.Controlled drug delivery:fundamentals and appliication. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1987.
2. Manosroi A, Chutoprapat R, Abe M, Manosroi J. Characteristics of niosomes prepared by supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO<sub>2</sub>)fluid. Int J Pharm. 2008; 352: 248-255.
3. Vyas S.P, KharR.K ,targeted and controlled drug delivery pg249,265.
4. Handjani-Vila RM, Ribier A, Randot B , Vanterberghe G. Dispersion of Lamellar phase oh non-ionic lipids in osmotic product,.,*Int. Cosmet Sci.* 1979;1:303-14.
5. Ali Nasir, Hari Kumar SL and KaurAmanpreet., Niosomes:- An excellent tool of drug delivery *IJPC* 2012,2(2), ISSN 2231-2781.
6. Girigoswami A, Das S, De S. Fluorescence and dynamic light scattering studies of niosomes membrane mimetic systems.*SpectrochimicaActa Part A.* 2006; 64: 859–866.
7. Rogerson A, Cummings J, Florence AT. Adriamycin-loaded niosomes-drug entrapment, stability and release.*J Microencapsul.*1987; 4: 321–328. [9] Srinivas S, Anand Kumar Y, Hemanth A, Anitha M. Preparation and evaluation of niosomes containing aceclofenac. *Dig J Nanomater Bios.* 2010; 5(1): 249-254.

8. Uchegbu IF, McCarthy D, Schatzlein A, Florence AT. Phase transitions in aqueous dispersions of the hexadecyldiglycerol ether (C16G2) non-ionic.
9. Pardakhty A, Varshosaz J, Rouholamini A. In vitro study of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether niosomes for delivery of insulin. *Int J Pharm.* 2007; 328: 130–141.
10. Muzzalupo R, Ranieri GA, La Mesa C. Translational diffusion and other physicochemical properties of a bolaform surfactant in solution. *Langmuir.* 1996; 12: 3157–3161.
11. Arora R, Jain CP. Advances in niosome as a drug carrier: A review. *Asian J Pharm.* 2007; 1(1): 29-39.
12. Fang JY, Hong CT, Chiu WT, Wang YY. Effect of liposomes and niosomes on skin permeation of enoxacin. *Int J Pharm.* 2001; 219: 61–72.
13. Azmin MN, Florence AT, Handjani- Vila RM, Stuart JFB, Vanlerberghe G, Whittaker JS. The effect of non-ionic surfactant vesicle (niosome) entrapment on the absorption and distribution of methotrexate in mice. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 1985; 37: 237– 242.
14. Guinedi AS, Mortada ND, Mansour S, Hathout RM. Preparation and evaluation of reverse-phase evaporation and multilamellarniosomes as ophthalmic carriers of acetazolamide. *Int J Pharm.* 2005; 306: 71–82.
15. Chauhan S, Luorence MJ. The preparation of polyoxyethylene containing non-ionic surfactant. *Vesicles. J Pharm Pharmacol.* 1989; 41: 6.
16. Cook EJ, Lagace AP. Apparatus for forming emulsions. US Patent. 4254553, 1985.
17. Junyaprasert VB, Teeranachaideekul V, Supaperm T. Effect of Charged and Non-ionic Membrane Additives on Physicochemical Properties and Stability of Niosomes. *AAPS PharmSciTech.* 2008; 9(3): 851-859.
18. Baillie A.J., Florence A.T., Hume L.R., Murihead G.T. and Rogerson A. (1985) *J.Pharm.Pharmacol* 37,863.
19. Bok M.C., Vander E.C.M., Neutkot I., Van –Deenan L.M. and Degier j.(1975) *Biochim. BiophysActa* 406,187-196.
20. Baillie A.J., Coombs G.H., Dolan T.F. and Laurie J.(1996) *J.Pharm.Pharmacol.* 38,502.
21. Croft S.(1986) *Pharm .Int.* 7,229.

**Corresponding Author:**

**Shingitha K.P\***

**Email:** [shingithanair@gmail.com](mailto:shingithanair@gmail.com)