ISSN: 0975-766X
CODEN: IJPTFI
Available Online through *Research Article*
www.ijptonline.com

RESOURCE AND TRAFFIC PRIMARILY BASED CONGESTION CONTROL THEME

S.Thirunavukkarasu[1], Dr.K.P.Kaliyamurthie[2]
Research Scholar, Department of CSE, Bharath University, Chennai[1].
Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Bharath University, Chennai.
Email: ststarasu@gmail.com

Received on: 15.10.2016 *Accepted on: 22.11.2016*

Abstract

To supply the congestion management theme for wireless device network victimisation RTCC Resource and Traffic primarily based Congestion Control theme. This theme can give the combined mechanism of each resource and traffic primarily based congestion management victimisation duty-cycle adjustment of device nodes in wireless device networks. The resource management approach is achieved by increasing the receiving capability of the receiving node and activating few nodes that square measure almost the full node in sleep mode throughout the congestion. The control approach avoids the congestion by reducing the incoming rate of the packet of the parent node because the offered resource. This RTCC theme ought to improve the network performance of the wireless device network.

Keywords: Wireless device Network, Congestion management theme, Duty-Cycle parameter, Resource management approach and control approach.

1. Introduction

A Wireless device Network (WSN) could be a distributed system comprised of enormous range of little powered devices that sense and collect info regarding the atmosphere. it's AN rising technology that incorporates a big selection of potential applications as well as atmosphere observance, good areas, medical systems, and robotic exploration. Basically, every device node consists of sensing, processing, transmission, mobilizer, position finding and power units. The ure one shows the fundamental design of a WSN. device nodes square measure typically scattered in an exceedingly device field, that is wherever the device nodes are deployed. A device node coordinates among them to provide high-quality info regarding the physical atmosphere. every of those scattered device nodes has the potential to gather and route data either to alternative sensors or back to AN external base station. A base-

station could also be fastened node or a mobile node capable of connecting the device network to AN existing communications infrastructure or to the web wherever a user will have access to the reportable information.

As the range of node will increase within the wireless device network, the information generated by such node is also redoubled that results in congestion. There square measure several sources for congestion. they're buffer overflow, simultaneous transmission, packet collision and lots of to 1 nature. Congestion causes packet loss, that successively reduces outturn and energy potency. so congestion in WSN's must be controlled for top energy-efficiency, to prolong system time period, improve quality of service (QoS) in terms of outturn and packet ratio together with the packet delay. There square measure 2 places wherever the congestion can occur in WSN, they're node level and link level congestion. In node-level congestion it's caused by buffer overflow within the node and might end in packet loss, and redoubled queuing delay. Link-level congestion can will increase the packet service time, and reduces each link utilization and overall outturn, and wastes energy at the device nodes. each congestions have direct impact on energy.

The congestion management approaches will be generalized into 2 categories; they're resource management and control. once congestion happens, resource management tries to extend resource either by increasing the reception capability of the node or activating the idle nodes. The control approach is achieved by adjusting traffic rate at supply nodes or intermediate nodes. Congestion management ought to be enforced at the macintosh (Medium Access Control) layer, instead of at AN higher layer like the transport layer. RTCC theme is enforced over the macintosh layer so as to avoid complexness of the planning. In RTCC, the congestion management drawback is localized to the macintosh layer (Data-Link layer) duty-cycle parameters. The vital attributes of macintosh protocols square measure collision rejection, energy potency, quantifiability in node density, latency, fairness, outturn and information measure utilization.

Congestion management has been thought-about as a vital, as a result of the node can begin to delay or drop of packets, which can cut back the outturn. Second Congestion can increase the energy dissipation rate of device node reduces the network time period. Finally hinders the honest event detection and reliable information transmissions.

2. Related Work

The ADCC theme, a duty-cycle primarily based congestion management theme for wireless device networks. ADCC will decrease the frequency of fixing the transmission rate and might cut back the management packet overhead by increasing the packet reception capability of the receiving node and decreasing the packet transmission rate of the causation node. ADCC can give advantage of preventing congestion with improved dependableness [1].

Unpredictable network employment as a result of the event-driven nature of wireless device networks (WSNs). as a result of that, congestion would possibly occur at sensors that receive additional information than they will forward, that causes energy waste, outturn reduction, and packet loss. A rate-based fairness-aware congestion management (FACC) protocol, controls congestion and achieves a lot of or less honest information measure allocation for various flows. In FACC, intermediate relying device nodes into near-source nodes and near-sink nodes. Near-source nodes preserve a per-flow state and allocation, near-sink nodes don't have to be compelled to preserve a per-flow state and use a trivial probabilistic dropping formula supported queue occupancy and hit frequency [2].

Traffic control approach like, Reliable Muti section Transport (RMST) protocol [2] could be a hop-by-hop reliable transport protocol designed on high of directed flow during which packet loss is recovered by hop victimisation caches within the middle nodes. Event-to-Sink Reliable Transport (ESRT) protocol [13], the bottom station is centrally calculate the speed allocation sporadically and it'll count the amount of received device readings and transfer the sensors by broadcasting a brand new transmission rate. Fusion measures the extent of congestion by victimisation the queue length and combines 3 techniques: hop-by-hop flow management, rate management and prioritized macintosh. Congestion Detection and rejection (CODA) senses each channel and buffer occupancies to live the congestion level [3]. Resources management approaches like Topology-Aware Resource Adaptation (TARA) will increase the network to ease congestion and to induce higher outturn. Traffic-Aware Dynamic Routing (TADR) formula is projected to route the packets within the region of the congestion areas and to disperse extreme packets on multiple path consists of idle or under-loaded nodes [3]. TARA (Topology-Aware Resource Adaptation) strategy was designed, and its performance was by experimentation evaluated so as to satisfy the dependableness demand level. Tara provide many reward of topology aware, energy economical, and distributed. Tara uses a capability scrutiny model to see the required topology. Tara also can accomplish information delivery rate and energy consumption that's near a best offline resource management formula [3]. The EIED formula defines the resource management framework that adjusts the resource provisioning at the hotspot throughout congestion. to seek out the most effective attainable resource management beneath the dependableness and energy constraints, gift a resource increase and reduce formula known as EIED (Early Increase/Early Decrease) that tries to regulate the effective channel capability chop-chop to the incoming traffic volume in AN energy-efficient manner, so that, increasing the accuracy level determined by the appliance throughout congestion. EIED formula performs higher than the proper control theme in terms of dependableness and energy potency [4]. A carrier sense media access protocol for wireless device networks

known as B-MAC that provides AN elastic interface to realize immoderate low power operation, effective collision rejection, and high channel utilization. so as to attain low power operation, B-MAC use AN reconciling preamble sampling theme to cut back duty-cycle and minimize idle listening. B-MAC supports on-the-fly reconuration and provides duplex interfaces for system services to optimize performance, whether or not it's for outturn, latency, or power conservation [5]. To handle load variations in time and site T-MAC introduces AN reconciling duty cycle in new approach by dynamically ending the active a part of it. This reduces the number of energy wasted on idle listening, whereas still maintaining an affordable outturn, during which nodes await doubtless incoming messages. The T-MAC a contention-based Medium Access management protocol for wireless device networks has been projected for this purpose. this may give applications for networks have some characteristics which will be exploit AN active/sleep duty-cycle. The T-MAC protocol introduces the way of declining energy consumption in hit or miss atmosphere wherever the message rate fluctuates, either in time or in location. [6]. Congestion management typically follows 2 steps: congestion detection and congestion management. Congestion detection is that the methodology during which remarkably within the traditional traffic is been created out. that's once a packet is been transferred from one node to alternative tight spot events will happen. Congestion is controlled by varied techniques like congestion detection and rejection, Event-to-Sink Reliable Transport, Congestion management and Fairness [7]. A data prediction mechanism has been projected to use the a lot of to send packets so as to alleviate the causes touching on channel competition and collisions. to attain this DMAC is projected to supply the energy consumption and delay reduction whereas providing high information dependableness [10].

Design

Existing system there's a combined mechanism for the resource primarily based congestion management in wireless device network and doesn't give each increasing the resource moreover as activating the idle or sleep nodes throughout congestion. If duty-cycle is low, then it causes performance degradation in terms of latency and outturn. If the congestion happens then the performance is degraded all the a lot of. In the projected system, RTCC (Resource and Traffic primarily based Congestion Control) theme, could be a congestion management theme with duty-cycle adjustment for wireless device networks. The RTCC theme is enforced over a duty-cycle primarily based macintosh protocol. It uses each a resource management approach, by increasing the packet reception rate of the receiving node and assuaging the congestion by activating the idle or sleep nodes, and control approach, by decreasing the packet transmission rate of the causation node for congestion rejection. The RTCC theme is predicated upon the Duty-Cycle

parameters delineated in ure a pair of. DACTIVE is that the length of the active state within the duty-cycle. DCYCLE is that the length between active state to sleep state of the duty-cycle operation of node x. N = set of kid nodes of x. tINTER_ARRIVAL(i) is that the packet inter-arrival times of node i. tSTART is that the place to begin of 1 duty-cycle. Set the DMIN_ACTIVE and DMAX_ACTIVE to the minimum and most thresholds of the active length, severally, to ensure energy potency and therefore the minimum packet delivery. The projected system for the RTCC theme has been explained victimisation the subsequent modules.

Congestion Detection

The congestion management in wireless device networks is detected through the distinction between the desired service time and therefore the length of the active state within the duty-cycle, that is DACTIVE. the desired service time is calculated by observance the packet inter-arrival times of the kid nodes by victimisation Equation (1).

$s(x)=DCYCLE(x)x$ (1)

Equation (2) shows d(x) is that the congestion degree of the node x. If d(x) is below zero, network is full.

$d(x) = DACTIVE(x) – s(x)$ (2)

To alleviate the congestion, RTCC updates the length of the active state by considering the calculated congestion degree. The new length of the active state will be one in all 3 cases as in equation (3). RTCC checks if service time exceeds most threshold. Then, the new length of the active state is updated as most threshold and RTCC notifies the kid nodes of the congestion in order that the transmission rate of the kid nodes will be reduced. Otherwise, RTCC checks if service time is between minimum and most threshold. Then RTCC updates active time of the node x because the calculated service time, which suggests that RTCC performs resource management. Otherwise if service time is below minimum threshold, active time of node x is updated as minimum threshold to ensure the minimum energy consumption. The new length of the active state is applied from future duty-cycle to future congestion management amount. DMAX_ACTIVE if s(x) > DMAX_ACTIVEDNEW_ACTIVE(x)= s(x) (3)

ifDMIN_ACTIVE ≤s(x) ≤DMAX_ACTIVE

DMIN_ACTIVEif s(x)< DMIN_ACTIVE

Resource management Approach

The resource management approach adjusts the resource provisioning at the full node for assuaging congestion. one in all the resource management approach is to regulate the active time of the duty-cycle of a node. The RTCC theme adjusts the length of the active time within the duty-cycle in line with the desired service time at intervals thresholds.

The energy consumption of a node is decreased once the node's effective data rate is such as the combination incoming traffic. Ri(t) is that the effective resource capability of node i at time t, and Ti(t) is that the combination incoming traffic volume of node i at time t is given in equation four.

Ri(t) = Ti(t) (4)

As before long because the full node detected that its congestion level is between the thresholds, it must quickly find 2 vital nodes: the distributor and therefore the merger. Then, a detour path will be established, beginning at the distributor and ending at the merger. As within the distributor, distributes the incoming traffic between the first path and therefore the detour path, whereas the merger merges these 2 flows.

Congestion Notification: After detection congestion, congestion management schemes have to be compelled to propagate the congestion info from the full node to the upstream device nodes that contribute to congestion. within the RTCC schemes, once the desired service time exceeds most threshold, RTCC notifies the kid nodes of the congestion in order that the transmission rate of the kid nodes will be reduced as in ure three. RTCC uses an exact congestion notification technique by broadcasting the congestion message to the kid nodes.

Congestion Notification format

The sort field contains the message type, and therefore the src_id field contains the address of supply node. The D_cycle and D_active contain the duty-cycle parameters of the full node. The change_inter_arrival is that the quantitative relation for adjusting the packet transmission rate of the kid nodes. Equation (5) shows the calculation of the new lay to rest arrival worth.

Change_inter_arrival= (5)

Traffic Control approach

The node that receives the congestion notification message sets the new transmission rate by multiplying their transmission rates by the amendment in lay to rest arrival worth by victimisation the equation (6).

Intervali(t)=Intervali(t-1) x change_inter_arrival + α (6) The additional decrease of transmission rate for process packets within the queue is α. as a result of the proportional decrease of the transmission rate of kid nodes; the control mechanism of RTCC achieves fairness among the kid nodes.

3. Methodology

The RTCC provides the combined mechanism of the resource management theme and control theme is delineated in ure four. The resource management approach, RTCC sporadically calculates the desired service time victimisation

the incoming packet info of kid nodes within the macintosh layer. Then it infers whether or not there's congestion or not through the calculated service time. Afterward, if the congestion degree is below a particular threshold, the theme adjusts its own duty-cycle to cut back congestion. From the attitude of control approach, if the congestion degree is on top of the brink, RTCC notifies kid|the kid} nodes of the congestion in order that the transmission rate of such child nodes will be adjusted. Increasing the resources throughout crisis states has been done by victimisation the below steps. Resource management approach, as before long because the congestion is detected, so as to modify a lot of nodes, the 2 vital nodes ought to be located: distributor and therefore the merger that is delineated in ure four. The detour path is established between the distributor and therefore the merger. The distributor distributes the incoming traffic between the first path and therefore the detour path, wherever as merger merges 2 flows. The on top of mentioned approach has been enforced victimisation below steps. The resource management approach has been delineated in ure four.

Traffic Distributor

Every device node keeps track of the incoming traffic volume from every of its neighbors. victimisation this info, the full node will choose the upstream neighbor that injects the foremost packets ANd send an upstream management packet thereto neighbor. If that neighbor node is additionally full, it repeats the method till it reaches a node with an occasional congestion level. That node can then become the traffic distributor.

Resource management approach

Traffic Merger: To find a traffic merger, the distributor traces downstream by causation a downstream management packet to the sink that the foremost traffic is destined for. Therefore, the merger ought to be placed on the routing path from the distributor toward this sink, with an occasional congestion level. Congestion level shouldn't be the only real criteria for selecting a merger; a node's location is additionally vital. Each node on the routing path keeps track of the hop count between itself and therefore the sink, that is offered from the routing table. because the downstream management packet reaches a node, that contains the specified capability level supported the traffic rate at the distributor, the node will decide whether or not or to not become a merger supported its distance to the sink. If desired capability exceeds the ensuing capability by this node changing into the merger, then it ought to simply forward the management packet to future downstream node on the routing path that's nearer to the sink. In parallel with the trouble of tracing the merger, conjointly have to be compelled to send word the distributor's ID to the merger in order that the merger will establish a detour path.

Detour Path

The merger tries to determine the detour path by regionally flooding AN REQ packet as well as the time-to-live (TTL) field toward the distributor. A node might receive multiple REQ packets from a merger as a result of the character of flooding. When the REQ message reaches the distributor, a candidate detour path is established. Usually, the distributor can receive over one candidate detour path, and it chooses the one whose REQ message has the most important TTL worth, that corresponds to the littlest path length. to interrupt the tie, the REQ message conjointly records the trail congestion level, that is that the highest congestion level among all the nodes on the candidate detour path, and therefore the distributor chooses a path with a lower congestion level by causation AN ACK message toward the merger through the chosen path.

Traffic Distribution: The distributor ought to split the outgoing traffic between the first path and therefore the detour path. The distributor checks every packet's destination sink before routing it. every detour path incorporates a corresponding sink, and if a packet's destination sink doesn't match the detour path sink, the distributor can solely send that packet to the first path.

4. Conclusion

Current work focuses on providing congestion management in wireless device networks victimisation RTCC (Resource and Traffic primarily based Congestion Control), congestion management theme victimisation duty-cycle adjustment for wireless device networks in static atmosphere victimisation NS2. This theme uses each the resource management and control approaches in line with the number of network traffic for the congestion rejection.

Future work focuses on Congestion management in mobile wireless networks victimisation RTCC, a light-weight congestion management theme victimisation duty-cycle adjustment.

References

1. Dongho Lee and Kwangsue Chung, "Adaptive Duty-Cycle primarily based Congestion management for Home Automation Networks", IEEE dealings on client physical science, vol. 56, issue. 1, pp. 42-47, Feb 2010.
2. Xiaoyan rule, Xingshe Chow dynasty, Rongsheng Huang, Yuguang Fang, and Shining Li, "A Fairness-Aware Congestion management theme in Wireless device Networks", IEEE Transactions On transport Technology, vol. 58, No.9 Gregorian calendar month 2009.
3. J. Kang, Y. Zhan, and B. Nath, "TARA: Topology-Aware Resource Adaptation to Alleviate Congestion in device Networks", IEEE dealings on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 919-931, July 2007.

4. J. Kang, Y. Zhang, and B. Nath, "Analysis of Resource Increase and reduce formula in Wireless device Networks", Proc. of the eleventh IEEE conference on Computers and Communications (ISCC'06), pp.585-590, June 2006.
5. J. Polastre, J.Hill, and D.Culler, "Versatile Low Power Media Access for Wireless device Networks", Proc. of the second ACM Conference on Embedded Networked device Systems (SENSYS'04) pp. 95-107, Gregorian calendar month 2004.
6. T. Dam and K. Langendoen, "An reconciling Energy-Efficient macintosh Protocol for Wireless device Networks", Proc. of the first ACM International Conference on Embedded Networked device Systems (SENSYS'03), pp. 171-180, Gregorian calendar month 2003.
7. RekhaChakravarthi, C.Gomathy, Suraj K. Sebastian, Pushparaj.K, VinuBinto Mon "A Survey on Congestion management in Wireless device Network", YCSC International Journal of technology & Communication, Vol.1, No.1, PP.161-164, January-June 2010.
8. Bret Hull, Kyle Jamieson, HariBalakrishnan, "Mitigating Congestion in Wireless device Networks", Proc of second ACM Conference on Embedded Networked device Systems (SENSYS'04), Gregorian calendar month 3-5, 2004.
9. IlkerDemirkol, CemErsoy, and FatihAlagoz, Bogazici University, "MAC Protocols for Wireless device Networks: A survey", IEEE Communication Magazine on Adhoc and device Networks, PP 115-121, April 2006.
10. G.Lu, B. Krishnamachari, and C. Raghavendra, "An reconciling Energy-Efficient and Low-Latency macintosh for information Gathering in Wireless device Networks," Proc. Of the eighteenth International Parallel and Distributed process conference (IPDPS'04), pp.224-231, April 2004.
11. S. Du, A. Saha, and D. Johnson, "RMAC: a Routing increased Duty-Cycle macintosh Protocol for Wireless device Networks," Proc. Of the twenty sixth IEEE International Conference on pc Communications (INFOCOM'07), pp. 1478-1486, May 2007.
12. W. Ye, J. Heidemann, and D. Estrin, "Medium Access management with Coordinated reconciling Sleeping for Wireless device Networks," IEEE/ACM dealings on Networking, vol. 12, no.3, pp. 493-506, June 2004.
13. YogeshSankarasubramaniam, OzgurB.Akanlan, F.Akyildiz, "ESRT: Event-to-Sink Reliable Transport in Wireless device Networks" MobiHoc'03, June 1-3, 2003, Annapolis, Maryland, USA, pp.177-188.