ISSN: 0975-766X
CODEN: IJPTFI
Available Online through ***Research Article***
www.ijptonline.com

IRIS RECOGNITION CAN GIVE HIGH-ACCURACY PERSON RECOGNITION

E.Jacob Evanson Solomon* and A.Rama
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bharath University, Chennai.
Assistant Professor, Department of Information Technology, Bharath University, Chennai.
Email: rama_j1@yahoo.com

Received on: 15.10.2016 *Accepted on: 22.11.2016*

Abstract

Iris recognition can give high-accuracy person recognition, notably once the non inheritable iris image is well centered. However, in some sensible situations, user cooperation could not be adequate to acquire iris pictures in focus; thus, iris recognition exploitation camera systems with an oversized depth of field is extremely fascinating. One approach to attain extended depth of field is to use a wavefront-coding system as projected by Dowski and Cathey, that uses a section modulation mask. the standard approach once employing a camera system with such a section mask is to restore t he raw pictures noninheritable from the camera before feeding them into the iris recognition module. during this paper, we tend to investigate the feasibleness of skipping the image restoration step with lowest degradation in recognition performance whereas still increasing the depth of field of the total system compared to associate degree imaging system while not a section mask. By employing a simulated wave front-coded mental imagery, gift the results of associate degree iris recognition formula, namely, Daugman's iriscode formula exploitation the pictures taken from the Iris Challenge analysis info. Our results recommend that, we will increase the depth of field by an element of regarding four over a traditional camera system.

Keywords: Difficult iris recognition, extended depth of field, surface secret writing.

I. Introduction

IRIS recognition is very enticing as a result of the high degree of entropy per unit space of the iris, yet because the stability of the iris texture patterns with age and health conditions. variety of teams have explored iris recognition algorithms and a few systems have already been enforced and place into industrial follow by some firms , whose system relies on the utilization of Daugman's formula. The performance of associate degree iris recognition system depends greatly on however well the iris acquisition system captures the feel detail that usually needs the iris to be among the main target volume of the acquisition system. Hence, standard iris image acquisition systems need user cooperation to an oversized extent in positioning the pinnacle so the eyes square measure set among the main target volume of the imaging system. Therefore, associate degree iris acquisition system with a bigger depth of field provides U.S.A. greater flexibility and lustiness. moreover, camera systems estimate the position of the user by adjusting the pan, tilt, and focus, that is typically not excellent. Having a bigger depth of field (defined because the distance vary from the camera over that the topic is tolerably sharp within the image) makes this task easier for the camera system. The traditional resolution to extendd depth of field is to increase the ratio of the lens. This interprets to exploitation a smaller aperture that, in turn, could decrease—depending on the sensing element pel size—the effective resolution of the camera system as a result of optical phenomenon and conjointly scale back the quantity of sunshine captured by the sensing element, thereby pain the signal-to-noise. the sunshine level are often hyperbolic by increasing the exposure time. hyperbolic exposure time, however, introduces motion blur since it might be phantasmagoric to expect the eyes to be utterly still for the hyperbolic period of the exposure. a way to attain extended depth of field while not sacrificing aperture size is to require advantage of machine imaging which mixes optics with digital signal process. to increase the depth of focus of associate degree imaging system, Dowski and Cathey [1] projected the utilization of a section mask. the thought is to use modulation to extend focus tolerance on the axis of the lens. Under misfocus, the modulation transfer operate (MTF) of a traditional optical system goes to zero at some frequencies. additionally, the form of the MTF below misfocus is considerably completely different from the form of the MTF focussed On the opposite hand, the MTF of a wavefront-coding system doesn't have any nulls over a broad vary of misfocus, and therefore the form of the MTF below misfocus doesn't amendment appreciably compared to it below the most effective focus each these properties are often exploited to extend the depth of field of associate degree iris recognition system. Avoiding MTF nulls is enticing since, during this case, there aren't any frequencies that square measure irretrievably lost, thereby protective all the knowledge within the signal. Therefore, a minimum of in theory, we will recover the initial data and win bigger depth of field at an equivalent time. The impact of surface secret writing are often shapely as a linear operation on a picture obtained from a traditional camera; thus, any iris recognition formula, that is tolerant to such linear operations, is a pretty Candidate once exploitation wavefront-coded mental imagery. several correlation filters (such because the minimum average correlation energy (MACE) filter) will complete any data protective linear operation as long because the same linear operation is applied on each the gallery and therefore the probe pictures, making correlation filters terribly enticing for this task. At the ame time, the favored iriscode formula [2]–[4] conjointly has some tolerance to the modulation cuased by surface secret writing since the formula depends solely on quantity section values (four division levels) of native physicist ripple responses Previous evaluations [5]–[7] on exploitation surface secret writing for iris recognition have incontestible that exploitation wavefront-coded mental imagery for iris recognition will increase the depth of field of the total system while not adversely touching the popularity accuracy. Most of those evaluations were done on alittle and thoroughly elect information set having each real and simulated images. Building upon this, we tend to aim to accomplish the subsequent goals during this paper.

1) Measure the performance of iris recognition once exploitation wave front-coded mental imagery on an oversized information set.

Aperture focussed. (b) MTF of a 1-D wavefront-coded aperture with misfocus parameter ψ = π2/2 [see (9)].

2) Consistently study the impact of wave front secret writing on completely different elements of the iris recognition pipeline.

3) Typically, wave front-coded pictures square measure rebuilt before getting used for recognition. This image restoration method is com putationally overpriced, thereby fastness down the iris recognition method. Thus, during this paper, we tend to would like to review the feasibleness of exploitation raw (i.e., unrestored) image outputs from the camera directly, wishing on the lustiness of the popularity algorithms to handle the blurring caused by wave front secret writing. Skipping the image restoration step helps in avoiding the machine quality. Since there's no publically accessible information set of wavefrontcoded mental imagery with an oversized range of pictures, we tend to use simulated pictures in our experiments. For this purpose, we decide distance from the best-focus position toward the camera, and _2.5 in. indicates the thing distance from the best-focus position far away from the camera. Notice that the eyelashes square measure clearly resolved within the surface Coded pictures, whereas they're lost in ancient imaging once the image is defocused. images from the Iris Challenge analysis (ICE) [8] info (see Section V for additional details on however the pictures were chosen). It should be noted that, within the pictures thus elect, it's attainable that the iris wasn't within the focal plane of the camera system once capturing the initial pictures. Therefore, the noise that's more throughout simulation would get on prime of the noise more whereas capturing the initial image. this can be the most limitation of our use of simulated iris imagery; thus, the conclusions we tend to draw from our experiments would be conservative, and therefore the actual performance can be higher on real wavefront-coded mental imagery. Having aforesaid that, simulated mental imagery will offer U.S.A. with flexibility

in what number differing types of experiments we will conduct and conjointly in learning the impact of surface secret writing on numerous aspects of the popularity formula. for example, we will get coded pictures at any desired distance from the camera and with any desired resolution on the optical axis. Moreover, by exploitation simulated mental imagery, one will simply measure the impact of fixing the parameters of the wave front coding mask and therefore the variety of mask itself.

Since the general performance, each in terms of depth of field and recognition accuracy, is littered with the subsequent 2 aspects of the iris recognition pipeline, we tend to investigate them severally to completely perceive the benefits and challenges of using surface secret writing in iris acquisition systems.

1) The fogginess caused by surface secret writing affects iris segmentation, which, in turn, affects the popularity accuracy.

2) As a result of the modulation introduced by surface coding, the iris texture undergoes associate degree about linear transformation that is reversible via a linear reconstruction. However, noise prevents this reconstruction from being excellent. This linear transformation has associate degree adverse impact on the popularity accuracy unless accounted for by the matching formula. From here onward, sit down with 2 forms of iris pictures: standard images are those obtained with none surface secret writing, and wavefront-coded pictures sit down with the raw output pictures (i.e., while not restoring the wavefrontcoded images) from associate degree imaging system using surface secret writing. the remainder of this paper is organized as follows. we tend to 1st describe the preprocessing done to the iris pictures followed by the feature extraction used Finally, we tend to conclude with some observations and analysis of our results.

II. Preprocessing

Before we tend to extract texture options or perform matching, we preprocess the iris pictures, that involves segmentation and normalization of the iris to be able to compare iris pictures of different size, and finding and masking of eyelashes and any specularities.

A. Segmentation

The performance of iris recognition systems is greatly addicted to the flexibility to isolate the iris from the opposite elements of the attention like eyelids and eyelashes. Ordinarily used iris segmentation techniques use some variant of edge detection

B. Standardization

Once the iris boundaries are found, we tend to map the iris pattern into the polar domain as is popularly done. This has 2 effects.

1) It normalizes completely different irises to an equivalent size, so allowing for correct matching.

2) Any rotation of the iris manifests as a cyclic shift (along the angular axis) within the polar domain, which may be handled simply by each correlation filters and iris code (via circular shifts).

Example of associate degree iris pattern, imaged monochromatically at a distance of about thirty five cm. The define overlay shows results of the iris and pupil localization and lid detection steps. The bit stream within the prime left is that the results of reception with complex-valued two-dimensional (2-D) physicist wavelets to write the section sequence of the iris pattern.

III. Feature Extraction

Gabor filters with fastidiously elect parameters are shown to be the foremost discriminative bandpass filters for iris image feature extraction among a spread of ripple candidates [9]. In the polar domain (ρ, φ) wherever the filter is applied to the iris pattern. Here, θ denotes the ripple orientation, denote the ripple extents within the radial and angular directions, respectively, and ω denotes the modulation frequency of the wavelet. By varied these parameters, the filters are often tuned to extract options at completely different scales, rotations, frequencies, and translations. we tend to use a collection of those otherwise localized physicist filters as our feature extraction filter bank. The filter bank employed in our experiments has 2 scales and 4 orientations for a complete of eight channels, and options square measure extracted at each purpose of the unwrapped iris (Daugman's technique uses solely 1024 physicist filters). additional details on the parameters of the physicist filters used and the way they were chosen are often found in [9]. we tend to conjointly divide the unwrapped iris into multiple (42 in our experiments) patches once exploitation correlation filters for matching, and therefore the projections of those patches onto the physicist bases yield our options. we tend to finally mix the popularity cues (correlation peak sharpness metrics) of every patch to return up with a final match score. Another purpose to notice is that the bandpass filters we tend to use for feature extraction are optimized on in-focus standard iris pictures, and that we use an equivalent physicist filters throughout our experiments.

IV. Matching

Many algorithms square measure used presently to match the irises. Some examples square measure iris code formula and correlation filters.

A. Iriscode

The section of the advanced physicist ripple projections obtained, as explained within the previous section, is quantity to a pair of b by mapping the section to 1 of the four quadrants within the advanced plane. All the bits obtained this manner represent associate degree iriscode. It should be noted that, whereas it absolutely was not the initial intent, this section division conjointly provides lustiness to the modulation introduced by the wavefront-coding system since there would be errors within the bits only if the section odulation is giant enough to cause the section to alter and make up another quadrant. Any 2 irises square measure compared by matching their individual iriscodes. The matching is completed by computing the normalized performing distance between the 2 binary iriscodes. There are corresponding masks to spot that bits within the iriscode to use for matching. The mask bits square measure set to either one or zero, counting on whether or not the corresponding iriscode bits square measure used or not used (e.g., as a result of lid occlusions) for matching. once matching 2 iriscodes A and B with individual masks mA and mB, the difference d is outlined as $d = \|(A \oplus B) \cap mA \cap mB \| \| mA \cap mB \|$ where $\oplus$ denotes associate degree XOR operation and denotes the load (i.e.,the number of nonzero elements) of the binary pattern. Rotation of the attention is stipendiary for by matching the iriscodes at completely different circular shifts on the angular axis and taking the minimum normalized performing distance worth.

VII. Conclusion

Iris recognition technology can do terribly high matching accuracy however still needs substantial user cooperation. To ease this demand on the user, we tend to need that the operational vary of the iris acquisition system be larger than what it's these days. Surface secret writing offers an answer to attain this, however there haven't been any large-scale tests to quantify and make sure the rise within the depth of field that may be achieved. during this paper, we've got self-addressed this downside by employing a terribly giant set of simulated wavefront-coded pictures for analysis. we've got conjointly fastidiously investigated the feasibleness of exploitation unrestored wavefront-coded pictures for recognition since this helps scale back the machine price related to image restoration and conjointly by the actual fact that the popularity performance, each in terms of recognition accuracy and depth of field, is simply slightly worse than the popularity performance on rebuilt pictures. Overall, our experimental results show that surface secret writing will facilitate U.S.A. increase the depth of field of associate degree iris recognition system by an element of 4.

References

1. E. R. Dowski and W. T. Cathey, “Extended depth of field through surface secret writing,” Appl. Opt., vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 1859–1866, Apr. 1995.
2. J. Daugman, “How iris recognition works,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Image method., 2002, vol. 1, pp. 33–36.
3. J. Daugman, “New ways in Iris recognition,” IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, Cybern., vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1167–1175, Oct. 2007.
4. J. Daugman, “High confidence visual recognition of persons by a check of applied mathematics independence,” IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal.Mach. Intell., vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1148–1161, Nov. 1993.
5. R. Plemmons, M. Horvath, E. Leonhardth, P. Pauca, S. Prasad, S. Narayanswamy, and P. E. X. Silveira, “Computational imaging systems for Iris recognition,” Proc. SPIE, vol. 5559, pp. 346–357, 2004.
6. R. Narayanswamy, G. E. Johnson, P. E. X. Silveira, and H. Wach, “Extending the imaging volume for biometric iris recognition,” Appl. Opt.,vvol. 44, no. 5, pp. 701–712, Feb. 2005
7. K. N. Smith, V. P. Pauca, A. Ross, T. Torgersen, and M. C. King, “Extended analysis of simulated surface secret writing technology in Iris recognition,” in Proc. IEEE BTAS, 2007, pp. 1–7.
8. Iris Challenge analysis (ICE), [Online]. Available: http://iris.nist.gov/ICE
9. J. Thronton, M. Savvides, and B. V. K. Vijaya Kumar, “An analysis of Iris pattern illustration,” in Proc. IEEE BTAS, 2007, pp.1–6.